

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/721,040	11/25/2003	David Allen Booth	109394-1002	6642	
7	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER		
Eugene F. Derenyi		YEUNG, JAMES C			
Stikeman Ellio 1600-50 O'Co			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Ottawa, ON K1P 6L2		3749			
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\int \int \int dx$				
	10/721,040	BOOTH, DAVID ALLEN	1112				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1 9				
	James C Yeung	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.				
Status							
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		sis				
Disposition of Claims							
 4) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 		·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						
S Patent and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer in view of Fleischmann and Janu.

Palmer discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Palmer does not disclose that the water heating system comprising an elongated duct and more than one fan units.

Fleishmann teaches the use of an elongated duct for the purpose of receiving warm attic air from location remote from an air exhaust outlet (70, Fig. 2A) of a heat exchanger system (18, Fig. 2A).

Elkins teaches the use of a plurality of fan units (note elements 51-53, Fig. 5) for the purpose of enhancing the flow of exhaust air thought a heating/cooling system.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the inlet sleeves of the water heating system of Palmer with an elongated duct such as taught by Fleischmann in order to receive warm attic air from location remote from the exhaust air outlet. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3749

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the water heating system of Fleischmann with a plurality of fans in the manner as taught by Elkins in order to enhance the flow of exhaust air through the water heating system.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Moratalla is cited to show an attic air conditioning system.

Emon is cited to show an attic water heating system installed in the area beneath the roof of a building structure.

Janu is cited to show in Figs. 2-3 a HVAC system comprising a plurality of exhaust fans.

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James C Yeung whose telephone number is 703 308-1047. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

 Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

 may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 3749

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JΥ

September 29, 2004

James C. Yeung Primary Examiner